

be required to comply with any applicable MSHCP regulations. Recreational use is considered to be a conditionally compatible use within MSHCP Conservation Areas. Seven planned park facilities are proposed within the Conservation Area of this alternative, as indicated in Table 4AA. All of these parks are located near edges of the Conservation Area, which would facilitate public access. Thus, the Listed and Proposed Species Alternative would not require the deletion or relocation of planned parks. Indirect beneficial effects would be similar to the proposed MSHCP, though as less land would be set aside, proportionately fewer acres of additional recreational resources would be expected.

Existing Reserves and No Project Alternatives. One planned park facility, the College Park in Moreno Valley, is located within the Existing Reserves and No Project Alternatives area. As parks and recreational activities are allowed within existing reserves, no alteration of the planned facility would be required, and no significant impact would occur.

Table 4AA - Summary of Impacts to Planned Park Facilities

Planned Park	Proposed MSHCP	Listed, Proposed, and Strong Candidate Alternative	Listed and Proposed Alternative	Existing Reserves Alternative	No Project Alternative
Cotton Wood Park (Aguanga)	In	In	In	Out	Out
Fresno Canyon Park (Corona)	In	In	In	Out	Out
Stagecoach Park (Corona)	Out	In	In	Out	Out
Alberhill Park (Lake Elsinore)	In	In	In	Out	Out
North Hill Park (Lake Elsinore)	In	In	In	Out	Out
Canyon Hills Community Park (Lake Elsinore)	Out	In	In	Out	Out
College Park (Moreno Valley)	In	In	In	In	Out

Analysis of Significance

None of the proposed alternative scenarios (including the proposed MSHCP) would result in the deletion or relocation of park or fire protection facilities. Thus, no significant impacts would occur.