



RIVERSIDE COUNTY INTEGRATED PROJECT (RCIP)

CETAP ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING

**Assembly Community Room, Registrar of Voters
2724 Gateway Drive, Riverside, CA
Tuesday, October 3rd, 2000
9 AM – Noon**

MEETING NOTES

CETAP Advisory Committee Attendees:

Dan Brown, US Fish & Wildlife Service
Janet Gless, Supervisor's Appointee
Frank Hall, Supervisorial District # 2, Norco
John Hunt, RCOG
Peter Kiriakos, Sierra Club
Stan Lisiewicz, Caltrans
Robin Reeser Lowe, RCTC
Bob Perkins, Farm Bureau
Ron Roberts, RCTC
Gene Rogers, WRCOG
Dan Silver, Endangered Habitats League
Gail Wanczuk-Barton, MSHCP Advisory Chair
Borre Winckel, BIA
Won S. Yoo, Supervisor's appointee

Tom Boyd, City of Riverside
Glen Campbell, OCTA
Jim Henderson, RCIP
Sandra Massa-Lavitt, City of Temecula
Rob McCann, LSA
Jim Miller, City of Murrieta
Bill Mosby, Caltrans
Craig Neustaedter, Moreno Valley
Bruce Pullmer, POA
Steve Smith, TransCore
Doreen Statlander, US Fish and Wildlife Service
Hideo Sugita, RCTC
Ed Studor, Riverside County TLMA
King Thomas, LSA
Merideth Wichman, Sverdrup

Other Attendees:

Mike Amling, LSA
Don Archer, Sverdrup
Cathy Bechtel, RCTC

Robin Reeser Lowe, Chair, began the meeting at 9:15 AM.

1. Public Comment Period

(Robin Reeser Lowe, Chair)

There were no public comments.

2. Approval of meeting notes from September 5th

(Robin Lowe, Chair)

The meeting notes were approved.

3. Report from MSHCP Advisory Committee and General Plan Advisory Committee

(Jim Henderson, RCIP Manager)

At the last GPAC meeting the density workshop was a focus of discussion. The workshop is rescheduled for October 16th at 6:00 p.m. Public meetings on the area plans have continued. The MSHCP is meeting next week to discuss a series of alternative project descriptions. These are the three project descriptions for development of the MSHCP. The first is for the 27 currently listed

species plus three we think will be listed shortly. The second includes these 30 plus those that are strong candidates due to decline or where a listing would have a significant impact on the county. The third includes coverage for 164 species. At the November meeting a decision will be made on which alternative to take forward. This will allow the conservation analysis to start to merge with the rest of the RCIP process.

4. Report on development projects from Riverside County TLMA

(Ed Studor, Riverside County TLMA)

Ed Studor briefly presented information on the status of several development projects. There are no new applications to report. The area plans are beginning to roll out. There has been a series of public meetings on the area plans. Last week we went to Lakeview/Nuevo and had roughly 400 people participating.

5. Report on Transit and Land Use subcommittee (joint CETAP/GPAC committee)

(Steve Smith, TransCore)

A meeting of the subcommittee was held on September 21. Topics included the incentives program and a draft write-up on the Transit Oasis concept. Copies of the Transit Oasis write-up were distributed. The next steps involve defining activities that could lead to implementation of the concept. It also needs to be melded with the RTA 10-year strategic plan. Susan Hafner discussed how it may be possible to incorporate elements of the Transit Oasis concept into the strategic plan. Peter Kiriakos commented that while this 10-year plan is important, we need to look 20 and 30 years ahead.

6. Handout and presentation on Working Paper 7a – Evaluation of Initial Alternatives for the Banning/Beaumont to Temecula Corridor

7. Handout and presentation on Working Paper 7b – Evaluation of Initial Alternatives for the Hemet to Corona/Lake Elsinore Corridor

(Steve Smith, TransCore)

Steve Smith handed out drafts of Working Papers 7a and 7b on the evaluation of initial alternatives for the Banning/Beaumont to Temecula and Hemet to Corona/Lake Elsinore corridors. Steve reviewed the structure and content of the working papers. He indicated that the evaluation was conducted at the screening level, providing enough information to help the committee identify alternatives that should be carried forward into the CEQA/NEPA process for each corridor. Steve outlined the process and general schedule for defining alternatives to be carried forward into the CEQA/NEPA process. The schedule includes public meetings on CETAP corridors in late October/early November, identification of alternatives to carry forward on November 7, and review by the Federal agencies in the NEPA/404 process in late December or early January, 2001. He indicated that, in addition to the overview sections of the reports, sections are included that deal with potential transportation benefits, environmental and community impacts, and engineering/cost issues. Steve provided an overview of the results of the transportation analysis of the various alternatives. Rob McCann provided an overview of the results of the environmental and community impact analysis. Don Archer provided an overview of the engineering issues.

8. Process for defining alternatives to be recommended for elimination

(Steve Smith, TransCore)

Steve indicated that information will be provided to the committee members as part of the November meeting agenda packet to provide their input into alternatives that should be carried forward into the CEQA/NEPA process.

9. Status report on Moreno Valley to San Bernardino County and Riverside County to Orange County corridors.

(Cathy Bechtel, RCTC)

Cathy Bechtel indicated that efforts were continuing to set up meetings with Orange County and San Bernardino County for the two inter-county corridors.

10. Presentation on State Route 79 Realignment Study

(Vince Hourrigan, CH2MHill)

Vince Hourrigan, Project Manager for CH2Mhill on the SR-79 Realignment Study, made a presentation on the study. He defined the objectives of the project and identified some of the alternatives being initially considered. He indicated that the effort involves the identification of an alignment of a limited access expressway that allows through traffic to be taken out of roadways through downtown Hemet and that closes the gap between SR-79 at Lamb Canyon and SR-79 at Domenigoni Parkway. He indicated that various alternatives are being considered generally along Sanderson Ave. and Warren Road.

11. Public Comment Period

There were no additional public comments.

12. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at approximately 12 noon.